arXiv:1606.07622v1 [math.NT] 24 Jun 2016

PRODUCTS OF CYCLOTOMIC POLYNOMIALS ON UNIT CIRCLE

BARTŁOMIEJ BZDĘGA

Abstract. We present a method to deal with the values of polynomials of type $P(z)=\prod_{d\in D}(1-z^d)^{j_d}$ on the unit circle. We use it to improve the known bounds on various measures of coefficients of cyclotomic and similar polynomials.

1. Introduction and earlier results

Let $\Phi_n(z)=\sum_m a_n(m)z^m$ be the nth cyclotomic polynomial, where we assume that n is odd and square free. Then the order of Φ_n is the number $\omega(n)$ of prime divisors of n. Put

$$A_n=\max_m|a_n(m)|,\qquad S_n=\sum_m|a_n(m)|,$$
$$Q_n=\sum_m a_n(m)^2,\qquad L_n=\max_{|z|=1}|\Phi_n(z)|.$$

We have $\deg\Phi_n=\varphi(n)\le n$, so these quantities are bounded by the inequalities

$$L_n/n\le S_n/n\le\sqrt{Q_n/n}\le A_n.$$

The author [2, 4] proved that for $n=p_1p_2\dots p_k$, $p_1<p_2<\ldots<p_k$ we have

$$(c+\epsilon_k)^{2^k}\le\sup_{\omega(n)=k}\frac{L_n/n}{M_n}\le\frac{A_n}{M_n}\le(C+\epsilon_k)^{2^k},$$

where $c\approx0.71$, $C\approx0.95$, $M_n=\prod_{j=1}^{k-2}p_j^{2^{k-j-1}-1}$ and $\epsilon_k\to0$ for $k\to\infty$. Moreover M_n gives the optimal order, i.e. it cannot be replaced by any smaller (in lexicographical sense) product of powers of prime factors of n.

Below we give a review of results for cyclotomic polynomials of order a most 3, where we assume $p<q<r$.

For a cyclotomic polynomial of order 1 obviously $A_p=1$ and $S_p=L_p=Q_p=p$.

For binary cyclotomic polynomials A.Migotti [10] proved that $A_{pq}=1$. L.Carlitz [6] obtained $S_{pq}=Q_{pq}=2p^*q^*-1<\frac12pq$, where $p^*\in\{1,2,\dots,q-$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B83, 11C08.

Key words and phrases. cyclotomic polynomial, binary cyclotomic polynomial, ternary cyclotomic polynomial, Beiter conjecture, unit circle, jump one property, relatives of cyclotomic polynomials.

$1\}$ is the inverse of p modulo q and q^* is defined similarly. The author [4] found such p and q that $L_{pq} \geq (\frac{4}{\pi^2} - \epsilon)pq$, but no upper bound for L_{pq} has been known so far.

For ternary cyclotomic polynomials G.Bachman [1] proved that $A_{pqr} \leq \frac{3}{4}p$. There is a conjecture of Y.Gallot and P.Moree [8] that $A_{pqr} \leq \frac{2}{3}p$ and it is known that the constant $\frac{2}{3}$ cannot be smaller. The author [2] proved that $S_{pqr} \leq \frac{15}{32}p^2qr$ and if the conjecture $A_{pqr} \leq \frac{2}{3}p$ is true, then $S_{pqr} \leq \frac{4}{9}p^2qr$. Furthermore the author [4] proved that $L_{pqr} \geq (\frac{8}{3\pi^3} - \epsilon)p^2qr$. No upper bound for L_{pqr} and almost nothing about Q_{pqr} has been known so far.

2. Main results

As we mentioned in the previous section, M_n gives the optimal order for A_n, S_n/n, $\sqrt{Q(n)/n}$ and L_n/n. We define the following constants:

$$\mathcal{B}_k = \limsup_{p_1\to\infty} \frac{A_n}{M_n}, \qquad \mathcal{B}_k^{\Sigma} = \limsup_{p_1\to\infty} \frac{S_n/n}{M_n},$$
$$\mathcal{B}_k^{\square} = \limsup_{p_1\to\infty} \frac{\sqrt{Q_n/n}}{M_n}, \qquad \mathcal{B}_k^{\circ} = \limsup_{p_1\to\infty} \frac{L_n/n}{M_n},$$

where $\limsup$ is taken over all $n = p_1p_2\dots p_k$ with $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k$. Clearly, $\mathcal{B}_k^{\circ} \leq \mathcal{B}_k^{\Sigma} \leq \mathcal{B}_k^{\square} \leq \mathcal{B}_k$ for $k > 0$. We prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1. *For binary cyclotomic polynomials* $\mathcal{B}_2^{\circ} = \frac{4}{\pi^2}$.

Theorem 2. *For ternary cyclotomic polynomials:*

(i) $\mathcal{B}_3^{\circ} = \frac{1}{\pi^2}$,
(ii) $\sqrt{3/2}/\pi^2 \leq \mathcal{B}_3^{\square} \leq \sqrt{1/12}$.
(iii) $\mathcal{B}_3^{\Sigma} < 0.2731$.

An upper bound on Q_{pqr} is given later by Theorem 13.

Summarizing, the known results for cyclotomic polynomials of order 2 are:

$$\mathcal{B}_2^{\circ} = \frac{4}{\pi^2}, \quad \mathcal{B}_2^{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \mathcal{B}_2^{\square} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \quad \mathcal{B}_2 = 1,$$

and for order 3 we have:

$$\mathcal{B}_3^{\circ} = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \leq \mathcal{B}_3^{\Sigma} \leq 0.2731, \quad \frac{\sqrt{3/2}}{\pi^2} \leq \mathcal{B}_3^{\square} \leq \sqrt{1/12} < \frac{2}{3} \leq \mathcal{B}_3 \leq \frac{3}{4}.$$

These theorems allow us to improve the bound on B_k from [2].

Theorem 3. *For* $n = p_1p_2\dots p_k$ *we have*

$$\mathcal{B}_k \leq (C + \epsilon_k)^{2^k} M_n,$$

where $C < 0.859125$ *and* $\epsilon_k \to 0$ *with* $k \to \infty$.

Additionally we use our methods to estimate the number

$$J_{pqr} = \sum_k |a_{pqr}(k) - a_{pqr}(k-1)|$$

of jumps of ternary cyclotomic coefficients studied by the author [3] and Camburu, Ciolan, Luca, Moree and Shparlinski [5].

Theorem 4. *For some constant c we have $J_{pqr} \le cpqrU^2$, where $U = \max\{u_{qr}, u_{rp}, u_{pq}\}$, $u_{pq} = u_{qp} = \frac{1}{p}\min\{q^*, p-q^*\} - \frac{1}{2pq}$ and q^* is the inverse of q modulo p, etc.*

At the end we prove the following result for the so-called relatives of cyclotomic polynomials, i.e. polynomials of form

$$P_n(z) = \frac{(1-z^n)\prod_{1\le i<j\le k}(1-z^{n/p_ip_j})}{\prod_{i=1}^k(1-z^{n/p_i})},$$

where $n = p_1p_2\dots p_k$, introduced in [9] by Liu.

Theorem 5. *Let*

$$L(k) = \sup_{\omega(n)=k}\max_{|z|=1}\frac{|P_n(z)|}{n}.$$

Then $\log L(k) \ge \frac{\log 2}{2}k^2 + O(k\log k)$.

In [9] was proved that $\log M(k) = \frac{\log 2}{2}k^2 + O(k\log k)$, where $M(k)$ is maximal absolute value of a coefficient of P_n with $\omega(n) = k$, so in fact in Theorem 5 we have equality. Theorem 5 gives a constructive and a bit simpler proof of the lower bound $\log M(k) \ge \frac{\log 2}{2}k^2 + O(k\log k)$.

3. Preliminaries

In this section we introduce additional notation used in the paper and we present the main ideas of the proofs.

Let $P(z) = \prod_{d\in D}(1-z^d)^{j_d}$ be a polynomial with integers j_d (not necessarily positive) and $\operatorname{lcm}(D) = n = p_1p_2\dots p_k$, where $2 < p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k$ are primes. We are interested in the values of $|P(z)|$ for $|z| = 1$, so let $z = e^{2\pi ix}$. We have $|1-z^d| = 2s(dx)$, where $s(x) = |\sin(\pi x)|$. Therefore

$$F(x) := |P(z)| = 2^{\sum_d j_d}\prod_d s(dx)^{j_d}.$$

We can only consider $x \in [-1/2, 1/2)$, because $F(x) = F(x+1)$. Let $x = \frac{N+t}{n}$, where $|N| < n/2$ is an integer and $t \in [-1/2, 1/2)$. by the Chinese remainder theorem we can uniquely write $N = N_{a_1,a_2,\dots,a_k}$, where $N \equiv a_i \pmod{p_i}$ and $|a_i| < p_i/2$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. This substitution will allow as to deal with some expressions of form $s(dx)$ quite easily.

In order to estimate the sum Q of squares of coefficients of P we use the Parseval identity

$$Q = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} |P(e^{2\pi ix})|^2 dx = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} F(x)^2 dx = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_k} I_{a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_k},$$

where

$$I_{a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_k} = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} F\left(\frac{N_{a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_k}+t}{n}\right)^2 dt$$

and the sum is over all $|a_i| < p_1/2$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$.

Throughout the paper we use the notation

$$s(x) = |\sin(\pi x)|, \qquad s_d(x) = s(x/d).$$

We also use the following asymptotic notation: $f(n) \ll g(n)$ if there exists an absolute constant c such that $f(n) < cg(n)$ and $f(n) \lesssim g(n)$ if $f(n) \leq (1 + o(1))g(n)$.

The following lemmas are crucial in the proofs in the next sections.

Lemma 6. *Let $n = p_1p_2\ldots p_k$, $N = N_{a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_k}$ and $x = \frac{N+t}{n}$. Then*

(i) $s(nx) = s(t)$,

(ii) $s((n/p_i)x) = s_{p_i}(a_i + t)$,

(iii) $s((n/p_ip_j)x) = s_{p_ip_j}((a_j-a_i)p_ip_i^*+a_i+t) = s_{p_ip_j}((a_i-a_j)p_jp_j^*+a_j+t)$,

where p_i^* is the inverse of p_i modulo p_j and p_j^* is the inverse of p_j modulo p_i.

Proof. Parts (i) and (ii) are trivial. For (iii) note that

$$N \equiv a_ip_jp_j^*+a_jp_ip_i^* \equiv a_i(1-p_ip_i^*)+a_jp_ip_i^* = (a_j-a_i)p_ip_i^*+a_i \pmod{p_ip_j}.$$

□

Lemma 7. *Let N, n and x be the numbers defined in Lemma 6. Let $p_1 = \min\{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k\}$. If $p_1 \to \infty$, then the following holds.*

(i) $\frac{|a_i+t|}{p_i} \ll s((n/p_i)x) \ll \frac{|a_i+t|}{p_i}$.

(ii) *If $|a_i| < p_i^{1-\epsilon}$ then $s((n/p_i)x) \sim \pi\frac{|a_i+t|}{p_i}$.*

(iii) *For $a_i = a_j$ we have $s((n/p_ip_j)x) \ll \frac{1}{\max\{p_i,p_j\}}$.*

(iv) *If $|a_i|, |a_j| < p_1^{1-\epsilon}$ and $a_i \neq a_j$ then $s((n/p_ip_j)x) \sim s_{p_ip_j}((a_j - a_i)p_ip_i^*)$.*

Proof. Parts (i) and (ii) follow easily from the properties of the sine function. To prove (iii), note that by Lemma 6 we have

$$s((n/p_ip_j)x) = s_{p_ip_j}(a_i + t) \ll \frac{\min\{p_i, p_j\}}{p_ip_j} = \frac{1}{\max\{p_i, p_j\}}.$$

For the last part note that $s_{p_ip_j}((a_i - a_j)p_jp_j^*) \gg \frac{1}{p_i}$ and $\frac{a_j+t}{p_ip_j} \ll \frac{1}{p_i^{1+\epsilon}}$. □

The following fact is easy and we omit its proof.

Proposition 8. *We have $s(px)/s(x) \le p$ and $s(px)s(qx)/s(x) \le \min\{p,q\}$.*

Let

$$F_n(x) = |\Phi_n(e^{2\pi i x})|.$$

To work with Φ_n on the unit circle we use the following formula obtained in [4].

Proposition 9. *For $n > 1$ we have $F_n(x) = \prod_{d|n} s(dx)^{\mu(n/d)}$.*

4. Warm up: binary polynomials

Proof of Theorem 1. By the results from [4] we already know that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exist p and q such that $L_{pq} \ge (\frac{4}{\pi^2} - \epsilon)pq$. Therefore in order to prove Theorem 1 it is enough to show that $L_{pq} \lesssim 4/\pi^2$ with $p = \min\{p,q\} \to \infty$.

Let $2 < p < q$ and $F = F_{pq}$. Like explained in the previous section, every $x \in [-1/2, 1/2)$ can be uniquely expressed as $x = \frac{N_{a,b}+t}{pq}$ with $|a| < p/2$ and $|b| < q/2$. Let $N = N_{a,b}$.

By Proposition 9 and Lemma 6 we have

$$F(x) = \frac{s(t)s_{pq}(N+t)}{s_p(a+t)s_q(b+t)}.$$

Now we use Lemma 7 and Proposition 8 to estimate $F(x)$. We consider three cases.

Case 1. $a = b = 0$. Then $N{=}0$ and $F(x) \ll 1$.

Case 2. $a, b \neq 0$. Then

$$F(x) \le \frac{s(t)}{s_p(a+t)s_q(b+t)} \ll \frac{pq}{|ab|}.$$

If $|a| > p^{1-\epsilon}$ or $|b| > p^{1-\epsilon}$ then $F(x) \ll p^\epsilon q$. Otherwise

$$F(x) \lesssim \frac{pq}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{s(t)}{|a+t| \cdot |b+t|} \le \frac{4}{\pi^2} pq.$$

Case 3. $a \neq 0$ and $b = 0$ (or reverse, which is analogous). For $|a| > p^{1-\epsilon}$ we have $F(x) \lesssim \frac{pq}{|a|} < p^\epsilon q$. If $|a| \le p^{1-\epsilon}$, then

$$F(x) \lesssim \frac{pq}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{s(t)}{|t| \cdot |a+t|} \le \frac{pq}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{s(t)}{|t| \cdot (1-|t|)} \le \frac{4}{\pi^2} pq$$

by elementary computations.

Recall that $L_{pq} = \max_x F(x)$, so the proof os done. □

Let us add that the inequality $L_{pq} \le \frac{4}{\pi^2}pq$ is not true in general. For example, let us fix p, choose $q \equiv -2 \pmod p$ and $x = \frac{pq-q-1}{2pq}$. Then by using the expansion of $\sin x$ we obtain

$$\frac{F(x)}{pq} \to \frac{4}{\pi^2} + \frac{2\pi^2-3}{6\pi^2}p^{-2} + O(p^{-4})$$

with $q \to \infty$.

It justifies the assumption $p_1 \to \infty$ in the definition of $\mathcal{B}_k^\circ$.

5. Ternary polynomials: maximum on circle

In this section we prove part (i) of Theorem 2. It is an instant consequence of Lemmas 10 and 11 below.

Let $2 < p < q < r$, $F = F_{pqr}$ and $N = N_{a,b,c}$, $|a| < p/2$, $|b| < q/2$, $|c| < r/2$.

Lemma 10. *Let* $x = \frac{N_{a,b,c}+t}{pqr}$.

(i) *If* $a = b = c = 0$ *then* $F_{pqr}(x) \ll 1$.

(ii) *If* $a = b = 0$ *and* $c \neq 0$ *then*

$$F_{pqr}(x) \ll \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } |dpq| < r/2, \\ r^2/|d| \ll pqr, & \text{for } |dpq| > r/2, \end{cases}$$

where $|d| < r/2$ *and* $dpq \equiv c \pmod r$.

The analogous bound holds for any permutation of (p,q,r) *and the appropriate permutation of* (a,b,c).

(iii) *For* $b = c \neq 0$ *we have*

$$F_{pqr}(x) \ll \begin{cases} pqr/b^2, & \text{for } a = 0, \\ pqr/|ab^2|, & \text{for } a \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

As in the previous case, this bound has its symmetric versions.

(iv) *For distinct* a,b,c *we have* $F_{pqr}(x) \lesssim \frac{1}{\pi^2}p^2qr$ *with* $p = \min\{p,q,r\} \to \infty$.

Proof. By Proposition 9 and Lemma 6 we have

$$F_{pqr}(x) = \frac{s(t)s_{qr}(N+t)s_{rp}(N+t)s_{pq}(N+t)}{s_{pqr}(N+t)s_p(a+t)s_q(b+t)s_r(c+t)}.$$

We deal with all parts separately. As for the binary case, we write F instead of F_{pqr}. Part (i) is trivial. In the remaining parts we often use Lemmas 6 and 7 and Proposition 8.

Part (ii). Let p' and q' be the inverses of p and q modulo r. Obviously $d \neq 0$. Then

$$F(x) = \frac{s(t)s_{pq}(t)}{s_p(t)s_q(t)} \cdot \frac{s_{qr}(cpp'+t)s_{rp}(cpp'+t)}{s_{pqr}(cpqp'q'+t)s_r(c+t)} \ll \frac{s_r(dp)s_r(dq)}{s_r(dpq)s_r(d)}.$$

Now it is clear that if $|dpq| < r/2$ then $F_{pqr}(x) \ll 1$. For all d we have

$$F(x) \ll \frac{1}{(1/r)(|d|/r)} = r^2/|d|.$$

Similarly we deal with cases symmetric to this one.

Part (iii). For $a = 0$ we have

$$F(x) \ll \frac{pqr \min\{q, r\}}{|bc| \max\{q, r\}} < \frac{pqr}{|bc|}.$$

If $a \neq 0$, then we use the bound $s(t)/s_p(a+t) \ll p/|a|$ instead of $s(t)/s_p(0+t) \leq p$.

Part (iv). We have

$$F(x) \leq p \cdot \frac{s(t)}{s_p(a+t)s_q(b+t)s_r(c+t)}.$$

Because at most one of a, b, c equals 0, the quotient above is well defined, as we may replace $t = 0$ by $t \to 0$ if necessary. If $\max\{|a|, |b|, |c|\} > p^{1-\epsilon}$, then $F(x) \ll p^{2+\epsilon}qr$, so let $|a|, |b|, |c| \leq p^{1-\epsilon}$. In this case

$$F(x) \lesssim \frac{p^2qr}{\pi^3} \cdot \frac{s(t)}{|a+t| \cdot |b+t| \cdot |c+t|}.$$

By elementary computations, the last quotient is maximal for $\{a, b, c\} = \{-1, 0, 1\}$ and $t \to 0$. The limit equals π, which completes the proof. □

The following Lemma gives an explicit example of p, q, r for which L_{pqr} is large.

Lemma 11. *Let $q \equiv r \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$, $r \equiv \frac{-4}{p-1} \pmod{q}$, $N = r \cdot \frac{p-1}{2} + 1$ and $x = \frac{N}{pqr}$. Then $F_{pqr}(x) \sim \frac{1}{\pi^2}p^2qr$ with $p \to \infty$ and $\frac{q}{p} \to \infty$.*

Proof. We have $N \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $N \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$ and $N \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$, so

$$F(x) \sim \frac{pqr}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{s_{qr}(N)}{s_{pqr}(N)} \cdot s_{pq}(N)s_{pr}(N).$$

Taking $q/p \to \infty$ we obtain $s_{pr}(N) \to 1$ and by Lemma 7, $s_{pq}(N) \sim s_{pq}(qq^*) \sim 1$. Finally

$$s_{qr}(N)/s_{pqr}(N) \sim s(p/2q)/s(1/2q) \sim p$$

with $q/p \to \infty$. □

6. Ternary polynomials: sum of squares

In this section we derive an upper bound on Q_{pqr} and use it to prove the second part of Theorem 2. As mentioned in Preliminaries,

$$Q_{pqr} = \frac{1}{pqr} \sum_{|a|<p/q;\ |b|<q/2;\ |c|<r/2} I_{a,b,c},$$

where

$$I_{a,b,c} = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} F\left(\frac{N_{a,b,c}+t}{pqr}\right)^2 dt.$$

First we deal with some specific triples (a,b,c).

Lemma 12. *We have*

(i) $I_{0,0,0} \ll 1$,
(ii) $\sum_{c\neq 0} I_{0,0,c} \ll (pqr)^2$, *similarly for* $I_{0,b,0}$ *and* $I_{a,0,0}$,
(iii) $\sum_{b\neq 0} I_{a,b,b} \ll (pqr)^2$, *similarly for* $I_{a,b,a}$ *and* $I_{a,a,c}$,
(iv) $\sum_{\max\{a,b,c\}>p^{1-\epsilon}} I_{a,b,c} \ll p^{3+\epsilon}q^2r^2$.

Proof. For (i) – (iii) we use the analogous parts of Lemma 10. Part (i) is again trivial.

Part (ii). By Lemma 10

$$\sum_{c\neq 0} I_{0,0,c} \ll \frac{r^2}{p^2q^2} + r^4 \sum_{d>r/pq} \frac{1}{d^2} \ll (pqr)^2,$$

where we used the known fact that $\sum_{k\geq n} \frac{1}{k^2} \ll \frac{1}{n}$.

Part (iii). Using the bounds from (iii) of Lemma 10 we obtain

$$\sum_{b\neq 0} I_{a,b,b} \ll (pqr)^2 \sum_{b\neq 0} \frac{1}{b^4} + (pqr)^2 \sum_{a,b\neq 0} \frac{1}{a^2b^4} \ll (pqr)^2.$$

Part (iv). By the previous cases, we may consider only distinct a,b,c. For such triples we have

$$F(x) \ll \frac{p^2qr\cdot s(t)}{|a+t|\cdot|b+t|\cdot|c+t|}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}\sum I_{a,b,c} &\ll p^4q^2r^2 \sum_{c>p^{1-\epsilon}} \frac{1}{c^2} \sum_{a,b\neq(0,0)} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \left(\frac{s(t)}{|a+t|\cdot|b+t|}\right)^2 dt \\ &\ll p^4q^2r^2 \sum_{c>p^{1-\epsilon}} \frac{1}{c^2} \ll p^{3+\epsilon}q^2r^2.\end{aligned}$$

It completes the proof of the last part. □

Now we are ready to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 13. *Let $x = \frac{1}{p}\min\{q', p-q'\}$ and $y = \frac{1}{p}\min\{r', p-r'\}$, where q' and r' are the inverses of q and r modulo p. Without loss of generality we assume that $x \le y$. Then*

$$\frac{Q_{pqr}}{p^3qr} \lesssim \frac{1}{6}P(x,y) + \frac{1}{12}f(x,y),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P(x,y) &= 2x - 11x^2 + 26x^3 - 17x^4 - 5y^2 + 18y^3 - 17y^4 \\ &\quad + 12xy - 24x^2y - 12xy^2 + 24x^2y^2, \\ f(x,y) &= \{2x+y\}^2(1-\{2x+y\})^2 + \{2x-y\}^2(1-\{2x-y\})^2 \\ &\quad + \{2y+x\}^2(1-\{2y+x\})^2 + \{2y-x\}^2(1-\{2y-x\})^2 \end{aligned}$$

and $\{\cdot\}$ denotes the fractional part of given real number.

Proof. By Lemma 12 we may focus on distinct $a, b, c \le p^{1-\epsilon}$. By Lemma 6 and 7,

$$F\left(\frac{N+t}{pqr}\right) \lesssim \frac{p^2qr}{\pi^3}\frac{s(t)s((a-b)x)s((a-c)y)}{|a+t|\cdot|b+t|\cdot|c+t|}.$$

By Lemma 12 and then by the substitution $m = a-b$, $n = a-c$ and $u = a+t$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Q_{pqr}}{p^3qr} &\lesssim \pi^{-6}\sum_{a,b,c} s((a-b)x)^2 s((a-c)y)^2 \int_{-1/2}^{1/2}\left(\frac{s(t)}{(a+t)(b+t)(c+t)}\right)^2 dt \\ &\sim \pi^{-6}\sum_{m,n\neq 0;\ m\neq n} s(mx)^2 s(ny)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{s(u)}{u(u-m)(u-n)}\right)^2 du. \end{aligned}$$

Computing of the integral is a routine; it equals

$$\pi^2\left(\frac{1}{m^2n^2} + \frac{1}{m^2(m-n)^2} + \frac{1}{n^2(m-n)^2}\right).$$

After reorganizing the variables m and n we arrive at the following asymptotic bound on Q_{pqr}/p^3qr:

$$\pi^{-4}\sum_{m,n\neq 0;\ m\neq n}\frac{s(mx)^2s(ny)^2 + s(mx)^2s((m+n)y)^2 + s((m+n)x)^2s(ny)^2}{m^2n^2}.$$

We may express this bound as $S_1 - S_2$, where S_1 runs over all $m, n \neq 0$ and S_2 runs over $m = n \neq 0$, i.e.

$$S_1 = \pi^{-4}\sum_{m,n\neq 0}\frac{(\dots)}{m^2n^2}, \quad S_2 = \pi^{-4}\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{(\dots)}{n^4}.$$

Recall that the kth Bernoulli polynomial is

$$B_k(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{k}{j}b_{k-j}x^j,$$

where $k>0$, and b_j are the Bernoulli numbers. Particularly

$$B_2(x)=x^2-x+\frac{1}{6},\qquad B_4(x)=x^4-2x^3+x^2-\frac{1}{30}.$$

The Fourier series of $B_k(x)$ is given by

$$B_k(x)=-\frac{k!}{(2\pi i)^k}\sum_{j\neq 0}\frac{e^{2\pi ijx}}{j^k}$$

for $0\leq x\leq 1$ and $k\geq 2$.

Let $e(x)=e^{2\pi ix}$. After some straightforward computations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}S_1=\frac{1}{16\pi^4}\sum_{m,n\neq 0}\frac{1}{m^2n^2}\Big(&12-8e(mx)-8e(ny)\\&+4e(mx+ny)-4e(my+ny)-4e(mx+nx)\\&+2e(m(y+x)+ny)+2e(m(y-x)+ny)\\&+2e(mx+n(y+x))+2e(mx+n(y-x))\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Now we use the equality

$$\sum_{m,n\neq 0}\frac{e(mu+nv)}{m^2n^2}=4\pi^4B_2(\{u\})B_2(\{v\}).$$

Notice that $0<x\leq y<\frac{1}{2}$, so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}S_1=&\,3B_2(0)^2-2B_2(x)B_2(0)-2B_2(y)B_2(0)+B_2(x)B_2(y)\\&-B_2(x)^2-B_2(y)^2+\frac{1}{2}B_2(y+x)B_2(y)+\frac{1}{2}B_2(y-x)B_2(y)\\&+\frac{1}{2}B_2(x)B_2(y+x)+\frac{1}{2}B_2(x)B_2(y-x).\end{aligned}$$

After some elementary calculations we have

$$S_1=\frac{1}{3}x+2xy-x^2+x^3-2xy^2-3x^2y+3x^2y^2.$$

It remains to simplify

$$\begin{aligned}S_2=\frac{1}{16\pi^4}\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{1}{n^4}\Big(&12-8e(nx)-8e(ny)+2e(n(y+x))+2e(n(y-x))\\&-4e(2nx)-4e(2ny)+2e(n(2y-x))\\&+2e(n(2y+x))+2e(n(2x-y))+2e(n(2x+y))\Big).\end{aligned}$$

We use the equality

$$\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{e(nu)}{n^4}=-\frac{2}{3}\pi^4B_4(\{u\}).$$

By $0 < x \le y < \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 = &-\frac{1}{2}B_4(0) + \frac{1}{3}B_4(x) + \frac{1}{3}B_4(y) + \frac{1}{6}B_4(2x) + \frac{1}{6}B_4(2y) \\ &- \frac{1}{12}B_4(y+x) - \frac{1}{12}B_4(y-x) \\ &- \frac{1}{12}\left(B_4(2y-x) + B_4(\{2y+x\}) + B_4(\{2x-y\}) + B_4(\{2x+y\})\right). \end{aligned}$$

By elementary computations

$$S_2 = \frac{17}{6}x^4 + \frac{17}{6}y^4 - \frac{10}{3}x^3 - 3y^3 + \frac{5}{6}x^2 + \frac{5}{6}y^2 - x^2y^2 + x^2y - \frac{1}{12}f(x,y).$$

Since $\frac{Q_{pqr}}{p^3qr} \lesssim S_1 - S_2$, we obtain the assertion of Theorem 13 by verifying the value of $S_1 - S_2$. □

Proof of Theorem 2(ii). By Theorem 13, for the upper bound it is enough to prove that $f(x,y) \le \frac{1}{4}$ and $P(x,y) \le \frac{3}{8}$. The first inequality is obvious. As for the second one, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial P(x,y)}{\partial x} &= (2+12y) + (-22 - 48y + 48y^2)x + 78x^2 - 68x^3 \\ &\ge 2 + 12x - 34x + 78x^2 - 68x^3 = 2 - 22x + 78x^2 - 68x^3 \ge 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < x \le y < \frac{1}{2}$. Furthermore

$$\frac{\partial P(y,y)}{\partial y} = 2 - 8y + 24y^2 - 30y^3 \ge 0$$

for $0 < y < \frac{1}{2}$. Thus

$$P(x,y) \le P(y,y) \le P(1/2, 1/2) = 3/8.$$

In order to prove the lower bound, we again use the polynomial Φ_{pqr} with $q \equiv r \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ and $r \equiv \frac{-4}{p-1} \pmod{q}$. We have $N_{a,a-1,a+1} = r \cdot \frac{p-1}{2} + 1 + a$ for $|a| < p/2$ and

$$s_{pqr}(N_{a,a-1,a+1} + t)/s_{qr}(N_{a,a-1,a+1} + t) \sim p$$

with $q/p \to \infty$. Moreover,

$$s_{rp}(N_{a,a-1,a+1} + t) \sim 1, \quad s_{pq}(N_{a,a-1,a+1} + t) \sim 1$$

with $p \to \infty$. Therefore for $|a| < p^{1-\epsilon}$ we have

$$I_{a,a-1,a+1} \sim \frac{p^3qr}{\pi^6} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \left(\frac{s(t)}{(a+t)(a-1+t)(a+1+t)} \right)^2 dt.$$

Thus

$$\frac{Q_{pqr}}{p^3qr} \gtrsim \pi^{-6} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{s(x)}{x(x-1)(x+1)} \right)^2 dx = \frac{3}{2\pi^4},$$

which completes the proof. □

7. Ternary polynomials: sum of absolute values

Proof of Theorem 2(iii). Let $\Phi_{pqr}(x) = \sum_n a(n)x^n$. Put $a = \frac{S_{pqr}}{p^2qr}$, $m = \frac{A_{pqr}}{p}$ and $|a(n)|/p = a + r_n$. Clearly, $\sum_n r_n = 0$. Then

$$\frac{1}{12} \gtrsim \frac{Q_{pqr}}{p^3qr} = \frac{1}{pqr}\sum (a+r_n)^2 = a^2 + \frac{1}{pqr}\sum_n r_n^2.$$

We will estimate the sum $R = \sum_n r_n^2$ from below. Note that $|a(n) - a(n - qr)| \le 2$, because

$$(1-x^{qr})\Phi_{pqr}(x) = (1-x^r)\underbrace{(1+x+\ldots+x^{q-1})\Phi_{qr}(x^p)}_{\text{flat}}.$$

The underbraced polynomial is flat because every two nonzero consecutive coefficients of Φ_{qr} are ± 1 and ∓ 1. Therefore we may consider a continuous function $f : [0,1] \to [0,m]$ satisfying $a + r_n = f(n/pqr)$. Moreover, $f(0) = f(1) = 0$ and $|f(x) - f(y)| \le 2|x-y|$ for $x, y \in [0,1]$. For $p \to \infty$ we have

$$\int_0^1 f(x)dx \sim a, \quad \int_0^1 (f(x)-a)^2 dx \sim R.$$

The value of R is minimal for the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x, & \text{for } 0 \le x \le m/2, \\ m, & \text{for } m/2 \le x \le 1 - m/2, \\ 2(1-x) & \text{for } 1 - m/2 \le x \le 1, \end{cases}$$

where the optimal m equals $1 - \sqrt{1-2a}$ (note that we already know that $a < 1/2$). So we have

$$\int_0^1 (f(x)-a)^2 dx = -\frac{2}{3}m^3 + (m-a)^2 + am^2.$$

We need to solve the system

$$\begin{cases} m & = 1 - \sqrt{1-2a}, \\ \frac{1}{12} & \ge a^2 - \frac{2}{3}m^3 + (m-a)^2 + am^2. \end{cases}$$

By numerical computations, the solution is

$$a \le 0.273099\ldots < 0.2731$$

and the proof is done. □

8. General case

Let us recall the following lemma from [2].

Lemma 14. *Let $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_k$ be primes and $n = p_1p_2\ldots p_k$. Then*

$$\Phi_n(x) = f_n(x) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{k-2}\prod_{i=j+2}^{k} \Phi_{p_1\ldots p_j}(x^{p_{j+2}\ldots p_k/p_i}),$$

where f_n is a formal power series satisfying $f_n(x) = (1-x^n)\cdot\frac{\prod_{i=2}^{k}(1-x^{n/p_1p_i})}{\prod_{i=1}^{k}(1-x^{n/p_i})}$.

Let f_n^* be the polynomial of degree smaller than n, satisfying $f_n^*(x) \equiv f_n(x) \pmod{x^n}$. In the same paper the author proved that for $k \geq 2$ the height of f_n^* does not exceed $\binom{k-2}{\lfloor k/2\rfloor-1}$. Here we prove the following bound.

Lemma 15. *The sum of absolute values of coefficients of f_n^* is $\lesssim \frac{2^{k-1}n}{k!}$ with $p_1 = \min\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\} \to \infty$.*

Proof. We have

$$f_n^*(x) \equiv \prod_{i=2}^{k}(1-x^{n/p_1p_i}) \sum_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_k\geq 0} x^{\alpha_1 n/p_1+\dots+\alpha_k n/p_k} \pmod{x^n}.$$

The product has the sum of absolute values of coefficients not greater than 2^{k-1}. Let $p_1 \to \infty$. Then the sum of coefficients of $\sum x^{\alpha_1 n/p_1+\cdots}$ with exponents smaller than n equals asymptotically the volume of simplex on vertices

$$(p_1, 0, \dots, 0), \quad (0, p_2, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \dots, \quad (0, \dots, 0, p_k).$$

It equals $\frac{n}{k!}$. □

Proof of Theorem 3. By Lemma 14 we have

$$S_{p_1\dots p_k} \leq \frac{2^{k-1}p_1\dots p_k}{k!}\prod_{j=1}^{k-2} S_{p_1\dots p_j}^{k-j-1}.$$

By the inequality $S_n \lesssim nM_n\mathcal{B}_{\omega(n)}$ with $\min\{p : p \mid n\} \to \infty$, after some calculations we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}_k^{\Sigma} \leq \frac{2^{k-1}}{k!}\prod_{j=1}^{k-2}(\mathcal{B}_j^{\Sigma})^{k-j-1}$$

for $k \geq 3$. Put $b_k = \mathcal{B}_k^{\Sigma}$ for $k = 1, 2, 3$ and $b_k = \frac{2^{k-1}}{k!}\prod_{j=1}^{k-2} b_j^{k-j-1}$ for $k > 3$. Then clearly $\mathcal{B}_k^{\Sigma} \leq b_k$. For $k \geq 6$ we have

$$\frac{b_k/b_{k-1}}{b_{k-1}/b_{k-2}} = \frac{k-1}{k}b_{k-2},$$

so $b_k = \frac{k-1}{k}b_{k-1}^2$. Furthermore,

$$C := \lim_{k\to\infty} b_k^{2^{-k}} = b_5^{1/32}\cdot\prod_{k=6}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right)^{2^{-k}},$$

where $b_5 = \frac{2^4}{5!}\cdot b_3\cdot b_2^2\cdot b_1^3 = b_3/30 = \mathcal{B}_3^{\Sigma}/30$. Based on Theorem 2 and some numerical computations we conclude that $C < 0.859125$.

Now by Lemma 14 and the bound $\binom{k-2}{\lfloor k/2\rfloor-1}$ on the height of f_n^* we have

$$\mathcal{B}_k \le \binom{k-2}{\lfloor k/2\rfloor-1}\prod_{j=1}^{k-2}(\mathcal{B}_j^{\Sigma})^{k-j-1} < 2^{k-1}\prod_{j=1}^{k-2} b_j^{k-j-1} = k!b_k,$$

so by verifying that $\lim_{k\to\infty}(k!)^{2^{-k}} = 1$ we complete the proof. □

9. Jumps of ternary cyclotomic coefficients

Proof of Theorem 4. In the proof we do not assume that $p < q < r$. Let $F(x) = |(1-z)\Phi_{pqr}(z)|$, where $z = e^{2\pi i x}$. We have

$$J = \frac{1}{pqr}\sum_{|a|<p/2;\ |b|<q/2;\ |c|<r/2} I_{a,b,c},$$

where $I_{a,b,c} = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} F\left(\frac{N_{a,b,c}+t}{pqr}\right)^2 dt$. Now we need to consider some cases. We omit details, as the computations are similar to those in the proofs of Lemma 12 and Theorem 13.

Case 1. $a = b = c = 0$. We have $I_{0,0,0} \ll (pqr)^{-2}$.

Case 2. $b = c = 0$ and $a \neq 0$. Then $F(x) \ll p/a$, so $\sum_{a\neq 0} I_{a,0,0} \ll p^2$. Similarly we deal with two symmetric cases.

Case 3. $b = c \neq 0$. Then $f(x) \ll \frac{p}{ab}$ and $\sum_{a,b\neq 0} I_{a,b,b} \ll p^2$

Case 4. $a, b \neq 0$, $a \neq b$ and $c = 0$. Then

$$f(x) \ll \frac{pqr}{|ab|}s((a-b)u_{pq})s(au_{pr})s(bu_{qr}).$$

Now, depending on a and b, we use different bounds on $s(au)$, $s(bu)$ and $s((a-b)u)$. The number n we determine later. For $|a| \le n$ we use $s(au) \ll |a|u$ and for $a > n$ we use $s(au) \le 1$. Similarly for b and $a-b$. We have

$$\sum_{0<|a|,|b|\le n} I_{a,b,0} \ll (pqr)^2U^6 \sum_{0<|a|,|b|\le n}(a-b)^2 \ll (pqr)^2U^6n^4,$$

$$\sum_{0<|a|\le n<|b|} I_{a,b,0} \ll (pqr)^2U^2 \sum_{0<|a|\le n<|b|}\frac{1}{b^2} \ll (pqr)^2U^2,$$

$$\sum_{|a|,|b|>n} I_{a,b,0} \ll (pqr)^2 \sum_{|a|,|b|>n}\frac{1}{a^2b^2} \ll \frac{(pqr)^2}{n^2}.$$

The case of the sum $\sum_{0<|b|\le n<|a|} I_{a,b,0}$ is analogous to the second sum above. The optimal choice of n is $1/U$. Then we have

$$\sum_{|a|<p/2;\ |b|<q/2} I_{a,b,0} \ll (pqr)^2U^2.$$

Case 5. It remains to consider distinct $a, b, c \neq 0$. We have

$$f(x) \ll \frac{pqr}{|abc|} s((a-b)u_{pq}) s((b-c)u_{qr}) s((c-a)u_{rp}).$$

Each case is symmetric to one of the following.

$$\sum_{0<|a|,|b|,|c|\leq n} I_{a,b,c} \ll (pqr)^2 U^6 \sum_{0<|a|,|b|,|c|\leq n} \frac{(a-b)^2(b-c)^2(c-a)^2}{a^2b^2c^2}$$
$$\ll (pqr)^2 U^6 n^4,$$

$$\sum_{0<|a|,|b|\leq n<|c|} I_{a,b,c} \ll (pqr)^2 U^2 \sum_{0<|a|,|b|\leq n<|c|} \frac{(a-b)^2}{a^2b^2c^2} \ll (pqr)^2 U^2,$$

$$\sum_{|a|,|b|>n;\ |c|>0} I_{a,b,c} \ll (pqr)^2 \sum_{|a|,|b|>n;\ |c|>0} \frac{1}{a^2b^2c^2} \ll \frac{(pqr)^2}{n^2}.$$

Note that the obtained bounds are the same as in the previous case, so again we have

$$\sum_{a,b,c\neq 0} I_{a,b,c} \ll (pqr)^2 U^2$$

as desired.

To complete the proof, note that $\max\{p,q,r\}^2 = o(1)(pqr)^2U^2$. □

10. Relatives of cyclotomic polynomials

Proof of Theorem 5. We consider primes $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_k$ with $p_1 \to \infty$, satisfying the congruences

$$p_j \equiv 2(j-i) \pmod{p_i} \qquad \text{for all } 1 \leq i < j \leq k.$$

The existence of such primes is guaranteed by the Chinese remainder theorem and Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions. Put $N = N_{1,2,\ldots,k}$ and $x = \frac{N-\frac{1}{2}}{n}$. By Lemma 6 we have

$$F(z) = |P_n(x)| = 2^{\binom{k}{2}-k+1} \frac{s(1/2) \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq k} s_{p_ip_j}(N-1/2)}{\prod_{i=1}^k s_{p_i}(i-1/2)}.$$

By using Lemma 7 we obtain $s_{p_i}(i-1/2) \sim \frac{\pi}{2}\frac{2i-1}{p_i}$ and

$$s_{p_ip_j}(N-1/2) \sim s_{p_ip_j}((i-j)p_jp_j^*) = s_{p_ip_j}(p_j(p_i-1)/2) = 1.$$

It gives

$$F(x) \sim \frac{2^{\binom{k}{2}+1} n}{\pi^k (2k-1)!!} = n \cdot 2^{k^2/2+O(k\log k)},$$

which completes the proof. □

Acknowledgements

The author is partially supported by the NCN grant no. 2012/07/D/ST1/02111.

References

[1] G.Bachman, *On the coefficients of ternary cyclotomic polynomials*, J. Number Theory **100** (2003), 104–116.

[2] B.Bzdęga, *On the height of cyclotomic polynomials*, Acta Arith. **152** (2012), 349–359.

[3] B.Bzdęga, *Jumps of ternary cyclotomic coefficients*, Acta Arith. **163** (2014), 203–213.

[4] B.Bzdęga, *On a generalization of Beiter Conjecture*, Acta Arith. **173** (2016), 133–140.

[5] O.Camburu, E.Ciolan, F.Luca, P.Moree, I.Shparlinski *Cyclotomic coefficients: gaps and jumps*, J. Number Theory **163** (2016), 211–237.

[6] L.Carlitz, *The number of terms in the cyclotomic polynomial* $F_{pq}(x)$, Amer. Math. Monthly **73** (1966), 979–981.

[7] Y.Gallot, P.Moree, *Neighboring ternary cyclotomic coefficients differ by at most one*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **24** (2009), 235–248.

[8] Y.Gallot, P.Moree, *Ternary cyclotomic polynomials having a large coefficient*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **632** (2009), 105–125.

[9] R. I. Liu, *Coefficients of a relative of cyclotomic polynomials*, Acta Arith. **165** (2014), 301–325.

[10] A.Migotti, *Aur Theorie der Kreisteilungsgleichung*, Z. B. der Math.-Naturwiss, Classe der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Wien, **87** (1883), 7–14.

Adam Mickiewicz University, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, 61-614 Poznań, Poland

E-mail address: exul@amu.edu.pl